Organization	
Project Name	
CoC Model/Component	
Populations Served	
Date of Review	
Reviewer	

THRESHOLD CRITERIA						
Criteria	Eligible	Ineligible	Eligible?	Data Source(s)		
Eligible entity	Nonprofits, States, local govs, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities.	Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column.		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form		
Eligible population	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form		
Date of Project App	Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline.	Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.		Project Application Submission Date		
HMIS	Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form		
Match	25% match for everything but leasing.	No required match.		Project Application		
HUD Monitoring	HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified.	HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion.		Project Application		

1		~/	1	1			
Administrative Costs	Admin costs are not greater than 10%		Admin costs greater than 10%.		Project Application		
		EVALUATI	ON AND RANKING STANDAR	RDS			
		PRO	OJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)		
Coordinated Entry Participation	More than 95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals	90-95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals	Less than 90% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals		CoC Supplemental Application (Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment, question 5.)		
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0				
eLOCCS Draw Downs	The project has completed regular quarterly draw downs in eLOCCS	The project missed one eLOCCS drawn down	The project missed two or more eLOCCS drawn downs		Financial Assessment		
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0				
	LOCAL CRITERIA						
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)		
Housing First Assessment	Project scores between 13 and 15 points on the Housing First Assessment	Project scores between 10 and 12 on the Housing First Assessment	Project scores less than 10 on the Housing First Assessment		Housing First Self-Assessment (Score indicated by number of points on self-assessment.)		
Points Possible: 0-15	13-15	8-13	0-8				
Racial equity	Project has implemented strategies that promote racial equity in programming.	Project has plans to implement strategies that promote racial equity in programming.	Project does not have plans to implement strategies that promote racial equity in programming.		CoC Supplemental Application		
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0				

SLC MN CoC 509 - Final Scoring & Ranking Criteria

PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH) **FY 2021 NOFA** Project utilizes Evidence based, Project has plans Project does not utilize evidence-based practices in systemic approach to evidence-based to utilize or uses homelessness practices in their some evidencetheir programming (i.e. harm reduction, trauma-informed, programming (i.e. based practices in **CoC Supplemental Application** harm reduction, their person centered) trauma-informed, programming person centered) 2.5 **Points Possible:** Project provides Project does not provide Culturally Project has plans Specific/Responsive to provide culturally specific/responsive culturally Programming specific/responsive culturally programming **CoC Supplemental Application** specific/responsiv programming e programming **Points Possible:** 2.5 Project has Project has plans Project has no policies that Domestic Violence to implement promote safety for those implemented **Policies** policies that promote policies that fleeing domestic violence, safety for those promote safety human trafficking, fleeing domestic for those fleeing exploitation, stalking, and violence, human domestic other forms of violence. violence, human trafficking, **CoC Supplemental Application** exploitation, trafficking, stalking, and other exploitation, stalking, and forms of violence. other forms of violence. **Points Possible:** 5 2.5

DEDEODMANCE MEACUDES (Domanus Domain de Outro)						
PERFORMANCE MEASURES (Renewal Projects Only)						
Criterion Most Desirable Desirable Least Desirable Score Data Source(s)						

				l I	
Exits or retention of	More than 93% of	93% of	Less than 93% of participants		
permanent housing (PSH	participants exit to a	participants exit	exit to a permanent destination		
only)	permanent	to a permanent	or remain in project		Annual Danfarrana Dana
	destination or remain	destination or	1 5		Annual Performance Report
	in project	remain in project			
	pj				
Points Possible:	10	5	0		
Returns to Homelessness	Less than 15% of	15% of	More than 15% of participants		
	participants who	participants who			
	exited to a	exited to a	who exited to a permanent		
	permanent	permanent	destination returned within 12		
	destination returned	destination	months		Annual Performance Report
	within 12 months	returned within			
		12 months			
		12			
Points Possible:	10	5	0		
		10% of project			
Earned Income (HoH	15% of project	participants	Less than 10% of project		
and Adults)	participants	maintained or	participants maintained or		
and Adults)	maintained or	increased earned	increased earned income from		
	increased earned	income from	project entry to annual		
					Annual Performance Report
	income from project	project entry to	assessment		_
	entry to annual	annual			
	assessment	assessment			
Points Possible:	2.5	1.25	0		
Tomas Fossible:	4.3	1.23	V		
Non-employment	65% of project	55% of project	Less than 55% of project		
Income (HoH and	participants i	participants	participants maintained or		
Adults)	maintained or	maintained or	increased non-employment		
Adults)	increased non-	increased non-	income from project entry to		
			1 3		Annual Danfannanaa Dan
	employment income	employment	annual assessment		Annual Performance Report
	from project entry to	income from			
	annual assessment	project entry to			
		annual			
D. 1 D. 22		assessment			
Points Possible:					

SLC MN CoC 509 – Final Scoring & Ranking Criteria PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)

FY	2021	NOFA
	4041	110111

	2.5	1.25	0		
DV Bonus Points (See additional DV Bonus Score Sheet)				15	
Total Points					
Total Points Possible				70 (Renewal) 45 (New) 60 (DV)	Reviewer Comments:
Percentage					