Organization	
Project Name	
CoC Model/Component	TH/RRH
Populations Served	
Date of Review	
Reviewer	

THRESHOLD CRITERIA					
Criteria	Eligible	Ineligible	Eligible?	Data Source(s)	
Eligible entity	Nonprofits, States, local govs, instrumentalities of State/ local gov, and public housing authorities.	Any entity that does not meet criteria identified in earlier column.		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form	
Eligible population	Meets HUD requirements	Does NOT meet HUD requirements		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form	
Date of Project App	Application is complete and includes all required attachments and is submitted to CoC coordinator before the deadline.	Application is incomplete, does not include all required attachments and/or is submitted to CoC coordinator after the deadline.		Project Application Submission Date	
HMIS	Project has capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)	Project does not have capacity and plan to participate in HMIS (or other comparable database for DV providers)		Project Application/Intent to Apply Form	
Match	25% match for everything but leasing.	No required match.		Project Application	
HUD Monitoring	HUD Monitoring Report is provided as applicable and no unresolved significant findings are identified.	HUD Monitoring Report is not provided (if applicable) or contains unresolved significant findings that should preclude applicant from inclusion.		Project Application	

that promote racial

implemented that

	(-	D==)			1120221,010
Administrative Costs	Admin costs are not greater than 10%		Admin costs greater than 10%.		Project Application
		EVALUATI	ON AND RANKING STANDAR	RDS	
		PRO	DJECT EFFECTIVENESS		
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)
Coordinated Entry Participation	More than 95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals	90-95% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals	Less than 90% of project entries are from Coordinated Entry referrals	/5	CoC Supplemental Application (Score indicated by number of points o self-assessment, question 5.)
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
eLOCCS Draw Downs Points Possible:	The project has completed regular quarterly draw downs in eLOCCS and not returned funds to HUD in the past 3 grant cycles.	The project missed one eLOCCS drawn down and returned less then 10 percent of their funding to HUD Annually.	The project missed two or more eLOCCS drawn downs and returned more then 10 percent of their funds to HUD annually.	/5	Financial Assessment
T OHIES I OSSIBIC:	5	2.5	0		
			LOCAL CRITERIA		
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)
Housing First Assessment	Project scores between 13 and 15 points on the Housing First Assessment	Project scores between 10 and 12 on the Housing First Assessment	Project scores less than 10 on the Housing First Assessment	15	Housing First Self-Assessment (Score indicated by number of points or self-assessment.)
Points Possible: 0-15	13-15	8-13	0-8		
Racial equity	Project has ongoing & active strategies that promote racial	Project has some strategies implemented that	Project does not have plans to implement strategies that	/5	CoC Supplemental Application

SLC MN CoC 509 – Final Scoring & Ranking Criteria PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)

FY 2022 NOFO

	PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)				
	equity in	promote racial	promote racial equity in		
	programming,	equity in	programming.		
	including training	programming.			
	for staff.				
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
	Project utilizes and	Project utilizes			
Evidence based,	actively trains staff	some evidence-	Project does not utilize		
systemic approach to	in evidence-based	based practices in	evidence-based practices in		
homelessness		their	their programming (i.e. harm		
	practices in their	programming	reduction, trauma-informed,	/=	
	programming (i.e.	(i.e. harm	person centered)	/5	CoC Supplemental Application
	harm reduction,	reduction,	,		
	trauma-informed,	trauma-informed,			
Points Possible:	person centered)	person centered)	0		
	5	2.5			
Culturally	Project provides	Project has plans	Project does not provide		
Specific/Responsive	culturally	to provide	culturally specific/responsive		
Programming	specific/responsive	culturally	programming	,-	
2 2	programming	specific/responsiv		/5	CoC Supplemental Application
	1 '8 " 8	e programming			
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
D	Project has	Project has plans	Project has no policies that		
Domestic Violence	implemented	to implement	promote safety for those		
Policies	policies that promote	policies that	fleeing domestic violence,		
	safety for those	promote safety	human trafficking,		
	fleeing domestic	for those fleeing	exploitation, stalking, and		
	violence, human	domestic	other forms of violence.		
	trafficking,	violence, human		/5	CoC Supplemental Application
	exploitation,	trafficking,			Tr in the second
	stalking, and other	exploitation,			
	forms of violence.	stalking, and			
		other forms of			
		violence.			
Points Possible:	5	2.5	0		
PERFORMANCE MEASURES (Renewal Projects Only)					
Criterion	Most Desirable	Desirable	Least Desirable	Score	Data Source(s)

SLC MN CoC 509 – Final Scoring & Ranking Criteria PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING (PSH)

FY 2022 NOFO

	MIII D HOODING (I				
Exits to permanent housing (Transitional Housing Only)	75% or more of participants exit to a permanent destination	70% or fewer of participants exit to a permanent	Less than 65% of participants exit to a permanent destination	/10	Annual Performance Report
Points Possible:	10	5	0		
Returns to Homelessness Points Possible:	Less than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months	5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months	More than 5% of participants who exited to a permanent destination returned within 12 months	/10	Annual Performance Report
Maintained or Increased Total Income (All Adults) Points Possible:	62% or more of project participants maintained or increased total income from project entry to annual assessment	55% of project participants maintained or increased total from project entry to annual assessment 2.5	Less than 50% of project participants maintained or increased total income from project entry to annual assessment	/5	Annual Performance Report
Total Points				/70 (TH) /60 (RRH)	Reviewer Comments: